

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/796,090	TAKE, KEIJIRO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian D. Nguyen	2616

All Participants:

(1) Brian D. Nguyen.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Michael Monaco.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 April 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

7, 13, 19, and 20

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE/Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: To correct the informalities in the claims and to add "synchronization" to claims 19 and 20. The phase "configured to" in claims 13 and 20 was also discussed. The applicant insisted that "configured to" is positively recited limitation; therefore, all the limitations following this phase must be considered..